Exhibit 2

1	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW I	LP		
2	ERIC P. JACOBS (State Bar No. 88413) PETER H. GOLDSMITH (State Bar No. 91294)			
3	ROBERT A. McFARLANE (State Bar No. 172650)			
	IGOR SHOIKET (State Bar No. 190066) Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor			
4	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 576-0200	•		
5	Facsimile: (415) 576-0300 E-mail: epjacobs@townsend.com			
6	phgoldsmith@townsend.com ramcfarlane@townsend.com			
7	ishoiket@townsend.com			
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION			
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13				
14	FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,	Case No. C 07-02664 JWS		
15		FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR		
16	Plaintiff,	CORPORATION'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO		
17	v. ALPHA AND OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR	ALPHA AND OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR		
18	INCORPORATED and ALPHA AND OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED,	INCORPORATED AND ALPHA AND OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR		
19	Defendants.	LIMITED		
20	`			
21		•		
22				
23	Plaintiff Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation ("Fairchild") hereby requests pursuant to			
24	Rule 34 of the Rules of Civil Procedure that defendants Alpha and Omega Semiconductor			
25	Incorporated and Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Limited produce each of the following documents			
26	and tangible things within thirty (30) days from the date of service hereof, at the offices of Townsend			
27	and Townsend and Crew LLP, Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111.			
28	///			

2

5

6

4

7 8

10 11

9

12 13

15

14

17

18

16

19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

A. DEFINITIONS

Filed 08/12/2008

- 1. The terms "AOS", "you" and "your" as used herein mean defendants Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Incorporated and Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Limited, and all predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, divisions, parents and/or affiliates thereof, past or present, and all past or present officers, directors, affiliates, agents, employees, attorneys, consultants, representatives and any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of AOS.
- 2. The term "patents-in-suit" refers collectively to U.S. Patent Nos. 6,429,481, 6,710,406, 6,521,497 and 6,828,195.
- The term "accused product(s)" refers to any and all systems or products, including but 3. not limited to modules, containing trench design power MOSFETs or IGBTs made, used, offered for sale, sold or imported into the United States by you, on your behalf or by any of your customers.
- 4. The terms "refer or relate to" shall mean constitute, consist of, refer, relate, summarize, demonstrate, reflect, evidence, contain, study, analyze, consider, explain, mention, show, discuss, embody, identify, state, describe, contradict, undermine, nullify or comment upon. Similarly, the term "referring or relating to" shall mean constituting, consisting of, referring, relating, summarizing, demonstrating, reflecting, evidencing, containing, studying, analyzing, considering, explaining, mentioning, showing, discussing, embodying, evidencing, identifying, stating, describing, contradicting, undermining, nullifying or commenting upon.
- 5. The term "document" shall be broadly construed as intended by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include, but not be limited to, any written, printed, recorded or graphic matter, computer memory (including but not limited to hard drives, portable memory, laptops computers, FLASH memory devices, memory sticks, CDs and DVDs of any format, and optical memory devices), computer tapes and diskettes, tapes, films, photographs, drawings, or any other tangible thing by which information is contained, stored or displayed, of every kind or description, however produced or reproduced, whether or draft or final, original or reproduction, signed or unsigned, and regardless of whether approved, signed, sent, received, redrafted, or executed, including without limitation written and electronic communications, electronically stored information, electronic data, electronic files, letters, correspondence, notes, memoranda of telephone conversations or

meetings, diaries, desk calendars, interoffice communications, fax messages, E-mail, telegrams, telex messages, records, studies, bills, receipts, checks, checkbooks, purchase orders, invoices, requisitions, studies, summaries, analyses, statistical and financial statements, charts, graphs, reports, computer printouts, laboratory notebooks, invention disclosure documents, patent applications and drafts thereof, test records, test reports, assignments, licenses, bills of sale, sale of business agreements, market studies, articles, publications, patents, manuals, magnetic tapes, tabulations, records, work papers, journals, microfiche, microfilm photographic film, surveys, forms, printed brochures or material similar to any of the foregoing, however denominated, by whomever prepared, and to whomever addressed, which are in your possession, custody or control or to which you have, have had or can obtain access.

- 6. The term "sale(s)", "sell" or "sold" shall include sales, licenses, leases loans, consignments, distribution to resellers or others and all other methods of product distribution whether direct or indirect and whether the produce is distributed singly or in combination with or as part of another product, and whether or not revenue was or will be received therefrom.
- 7. The term "person" means any natural person, firm, association, partnership, government agency, corporation, proprietorship, or other entity and its officers, directors, partners, employees, representatives and agents.
 - 8. The term "third party" means anyone other than AOS or Fairchild.
- 9. The term "communication" means or refers to all discussions, conversations, negotiations, agreements, understandings, meetings, telephone conversations, letters, notes, telegrams, advertisements or other forms of information exchanged, whether oral, electronic or written.
- 10. The words "and" and "or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively, whichever makes the request more inclusive.
- 11. Any pronouns shall be construed to refer to the masculine, feminine or neuter gender, in singular or plural, as in each case is most appropriate.
 - 12. The words "all" and "any" shall be construed to mean "any and all."
 - 13. The word "each" shall be construed to mean "each and every."
 - 14. The singular form of any word shall be construed to also include the plural.

4	
ı	

4

6

7

5

8

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

20

24 25

26

27

28 || /

///

///

B. INSTRUCTIONS

- Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, you are requested to respond within 30 days from the date of service of this request.
- 2. If you contend that any document requested to be produced is protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or any other ground of privilege or immunity, each such document shall be identified as follows:
 - a. the date of the document;
 - b. the name and title or position of the author(s) of the document;
 - the name and title or position of all persons designated as addressees or
 otherwise as recipients of copies of the document;
 - d. the subject matter of the document;
 - e. the type of document (memorandum, letter, report, etc.)
 - f. the specific grounds for withholding the document in sufficient detail for the Court to rule on the merits of the claim of attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine; or other ground of privilege or immunity; and
 - g. the number of the document request(s) to which the document pertains.
- 3. In producing documents pursuant to this request, AOS shall indicate the specific request(s) in response to which each document or group of documents is being produced. If there are no documents in the possession, custody or control of AOS which are responsive to a request herein, AOS shall state that fact in its written response to such request(s).
- 4. Unless otherwise indicated, this request for production of documents seeks all documents existing or prepared through the present.
- 5. This request shall be deemed continuing so as to require prompt and further supplemental production if AOS obtains additional documents at any time between the time of the initial production and the time of hearing or trial.

2

3

C. DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

All documents describing any aspect of the structure or design of any accused product, including without limitation plots of mask layers, cell plots, design drawings, electronic design

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

automation files and starting material descriptions.

All documents describing any aspect of the process of manufacture of any accused product, including without limitation process, flows, process travelers and process recipes.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

All documents describing the configuration of any accused product at any stage of its manufacture (including without limitation documents depicting the configuration of the semiconductor junctions and layers atop the silicon substrate and how those junction patterns and layers are/were formed).

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

All documents describing the arrangements made for the manufacture of any accused products, including without limitation documents describing the place of wafer fabrication, the changes to the manufacturing process over time, and the shipment of wafers for assembly into accused products and eventual sale.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

Data books, catalogs, datasheets, application notes, instructional materials, product selector guides, application notes, designer's manual, web pages or other product literature referring or relating to any accused product.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

All travel logs, masks, process run cards, manufacturing specifications, manufacturing drawings, schematics, blueprints, drawings, sketches, design specifications, or flow charts referring or relating to the accused products.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

All documents and things, including but not limited to, photographs, micrographs or

Filed 08/12/2008

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

25 26

24

27

28

prototypes that relate to or were referred to, examined or used in the design or development of the accused products.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

All documents and things that relate to the structure or design of the accused products.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

All documents and things that relate to or evidence modification(s) or improvement(s) to the accused products.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

All documents that relate to or evidence the contemplation of, the negotiation for, or the entering into of any patent or technology licenses or agreements with third parties relating to the accused products.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

All documents that relate to any investigation, report, opinion, study, or analysis (legal or otherwise) whether formal or informal concerning, discussing or relating to any potential or alleged infringement of one or more of the patents-in-suit or any claim thereof.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Documents sufficient to describe the corporate relationship between Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Incorporated and Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Limited.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Documents sufficient to describe the corporate structure of AOS.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

All documents that relate to company directories, organizational charts and similar documents sufficient to show names, locations (geographic or by department or division) and reporting lines of all AOS officers, directors or employees who may have had knowledge concerning AOS's design, development, manufacture, production, marketing, distribution, importation, offers for sale and/or sale of any of the accused products.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

All documents that relate to AOS's marketing and sales in the United States of any of the

1	accused products from May 2001 to the present (including but not limited to marketing and business
2	plans).
3	DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:
4	All documents reflecting any sales of any accused product in the United States, including
5	without limitation all customer lists and periodic sales reports for such products from May 2001 to the
6	present.
7	DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:
8	All documents that relate to agreements with any third party, including but not limited to
9	distributors or resellers, that imports, promotes, markets, sells, offers to sell or uses the accused
10	products.
11	DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:
12	Documents sufficient to identify each person that makes the accused products for you or on
13	your behalf.
14	DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:
15	Documents sufficient to identify each person that makes any system, product, or module that
16	incorporates any of the accused products.
17	DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:
18	Documents sufficient to identify each person that uses, sells, offers for sale or imports into the
19	United States any system, product, or module that incorporates any of the accused products.
20	DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:
21	All documents that relate to any comparisons between the accused products and any product
22	made or sold by Fairchild.
23	DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:
24	All documents and things you identified and/or were requested to identify in your answers to
25	Fairchild's First Set of Interrogatories.
26	·
- 1	

Exhibit 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP DANIEL JOHNSON, JR., State Bar No. 5740 BRETT M. SCHUMAN, State Bar No. 18924 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442.1000 Fax: 415.442.1001 E-mail: djjohnson@morganlewis.com ANDREW J. WU, State Bar No. 214442 AHREN C. HOFFMAN (State Bar No. 25046 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 Palo Alto, CA 94306	7	
10	Fax: 650.843.4001		
.11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants ALPHA & OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR, INC. ALPHA & OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR, LTD.		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
15			
16	SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION	
17	ALDELL A OLEGA OEL MOOLENIOTOR	G N 0 07 0 00 10 10 10	
18	ALPHA & OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR, INC. a California corporation; and ALPHA & OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR, LTD., a	Case No. C 07-2638 JSW (Consolidated with Case No. C-07-2664 JSW)	
19	Bermuda corporation, Plaintiffs and	AOS'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FAIRCHILD'S FIRST SET OF	
20 21	Counterdefendants,	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION SERVED IN CASE NO. C 07-2664 JSW	
22	VS.		
23	FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR CORP., a Delaware corporation,		
24	Defendant and Counterclaimant.		
25			
26	Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs and		
27	Counterdefendants Alpha & Omega Semiconductor, Ltd. and Alpha & Omega Semiconductor,		
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO	1-PA/3664697.6	AOS'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FAIRCHILD'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION (C 07-2664 JSW)	

Case 3:07-cv-02638-JSW

MORCAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Inc. (collectively "AOS") hereby respond to the First Set of Requests for Production ("Requests") propounded by Defendant and Counterclaimant Fairchild Semiconductor Corp. ("Fairchild") in Case No. 07-02664 JSW, averring as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. AOS generally objects to the Requests to the extent they seek documents and things subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, or any other privilege or protection afforded by law. Any inadvertent production of any document or thing shall not constitute a waiver of any privileges of AOS, and AOS reserves its rights to demand and obtain the return of any such document or thing, and all copies thereof. AOS further objects to the Requests to the extent they require AOS to search for and reveal privileged information, documents, and things from its and its attorneys' files. AOS will not schedule on a privilege log any materials protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine created on or after May 17, 2007, the date this lawsuit was initiated. As used herein, the term "non-privileged" refers to information, documents, and things that are not protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or protection from discovery.
- 2. AOS generally objects to the Requests to the extent they seek to impose upon AOS obligations different from, or in addition to, those obligations imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Civil Local Rules or the Patent Local Rules of the Northern District of California, or any Orders of the Court. AOS' responses shall be controlled by the requirements imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence, the applicable Local Rules, and Orders of the Court.
- 3. AOS objects to Fairchild's definitions of "AOS," "you," and "your" as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, and unduly burdensome to the extent they seek to make the Requests apply to, and seek information, documents, or things from, persons or entities other than, or beyond the control of, Alpha & Omega Semiconductor, Ltd. or Alpha & Omega Semiconductor, Inc. Subject to its general and specific objections, AOS shall base its answers to the Requests

7

11

12

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28 10rcan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP TTORNEYS AT I AW SAN FRANCISCO

solely on information, documents, or things that are in the custody, possession, or control of Alpha & Omega Semiconductor, Ltd. or Alpha & Omega Semiconductor, Inc.

- AOS objects to Fairchild's definition of "accused product(s)" as overly broad. As Fairchild has defined the term, it purports to encompass each and every trench MOSFET product that AOS has ever made, used, offered for sale, sold or imported. AOS will treat this term as referring to the following trench MOSFET products that were identified by Fairchild in a letter dated August 3, 2005, from Stephen Schott to Dr. Michael Chang: AO4812, AO4912, AOD412, AOD414, AOD404, AOD406, AO4407, and AO4422.
- 5. AOS objects to Fairchild's Instruction No. 2 as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks to impose obligations different from, or in addition to, the obligations imposed by Rule 26(b)(5) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Accordingly, AOS shall comply with Rule 26(b)(5) with respect to any documents requested to be produced that are withheld on the grounds that they are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, or any other privilege or protection afforded by law. Furthermore, as stated in General Objection No. 1, AOS will not schedule on a privilege log any materials protected by the attorney-client privilege or work-product doctrine created on or after May 17, 2007, the date this lawsuit was initiated.
- AOS objects to Fairchild's Instruction No. 3 as unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks to limit the manner in which documents may be produced.

RESPONSES

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All documents describing any aspect of the structure or design of any accused product, including without limitation plots of mask layers, cell plots, design drawings, electronic design automation files and starting material descriptions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 1:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

14 15

13

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

10rgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP

will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to show the technical design and operation of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 2:

All documents describing any aspect of the process of manufacture of any accused product, including without limitation process, flows, process travelers and process recipes.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 2:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to describe the manufacture of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 3:

All documents describing the configuration of any accused product at any stage of its manufacture (including without limitation documents depicting the configuration of the semiconductor junctions and layers atop the silicon substrate and how those junction patterns and layers are/were formed).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 3:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. AOS further objects that the undefined term "configuration," as used in this request, is vague, ambiguous and unintelligible. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to describe the manufacture of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 4:

All documents describing the arrangements made for the manufacture of any accused products, including without limitation documents describing the place of wafer fabrication, the changes to the manufacturing process over time, and the shipment of wafers for assembly into accused products and eventual sale.

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BOCKIUS LLP TTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 4:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to describe the manufacture of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 5:

Case 3:07-cv-02638-JSW

Data books, catalogs, datasheets, application notes, instructional materials, product selector guides, application notes, designer's manual, web pages or other product literature referring or relating to any accused product.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 5:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to show the technical operation of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 6:

All travel logs, masks, process run cards, manufacturing specifications, manufacturing drawings, schematics, blueprints, drawings, sketches, design specifications, or flow charts referring or relating to the accused products.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 6:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to show the manufacturing process of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 7:

All documents and things, including but not limited to, photographs, micrographs or

3

4

5 6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

MORCAN, LEWIS & **BOCKIUS LLP** TTORNEYS AT LAW

prototypes that relate to or were referred to, examined or used in the design or development of the accused products.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 7:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to show the design and development of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 8:

All documents and things that relate to the structure or design of the accused products.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 8:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to show the structure and design of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 9:

All documents and things that relate to or evidence modification(s) or improvement(s) to the accused products.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 9:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to show the technical design of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 10:

All documents that relate to or evidence the contemplation of, the negotiation for, or the entering into of any patent or technology licenses or agreements with third parties relating to the accused products.

3

2

request at a later time.

5

4

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 11:

7

6

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

BOCKIUS LLP

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, AOS reserves its right to object and respond to this

All documents that relate to any investigation, report, opinion, study, or analysis (legal or otherwise) whether formal or informal concerning, discussing or relating to any potential or alleged infringement of one or more of the patents-in-suit or any claim thereof.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 11:

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, AOS reserves its right to object and respond to this request at a later time.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 12:

Documents sufficient to describe the corporate relationship between Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Incorporated and Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Limited.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 12:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS objects further on the grounds that the term "corporate relationship" is vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce documents sufficient to show that Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Incorporated is a wholly owned subsidiary of Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Limited.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 13:

Documents sufficient to describe the corporate structure of AOS

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 13:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS objects further on the grounds that the term "corporate structure" is vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce documents sufficient to show that Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Incorporated is a wholly owned subsidiary of Alpha and Omega Semiconductor Limited.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 14:

All documents that relate to company directories, organizational charts and similar documents sufficient to show names, locations (geographic or by department or division) and reporting lines of all AOS officers, directors or employees who may have had knowledge concerning AOS's design, development, manufacture, production, marketing, distribution, importation, offers for sale and/or sale of any of the accused products.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 14:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce non-privileged documents responsive to this request sufficient to identify the officers, directors, or employees of AOS who have knowledge of the technical design, manufacture, and operation of the accused products.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 15:

All documents that relate to AOS's marketing and sales in the United States of any of the accused products from May 2001 to the present (including but not limited to marketing and business plans).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 15:

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, AOS reserves its right to object and respond to this request at a later time.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 16:

All documents reflecting any sales of any accused product in the United States, including without limitation all customer lists and periodic sales reports for such products from May 2001 to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 16:

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, AOS reserves its right to object and respond to this request at a later time.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 17:

All documents that relate to agreements with any third party, including but not limited to distributors or resellers, that imports, promotes, markets, sells, offers to sell or uses the accused

products.

3

1

2

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ORGAN LEWIS BOCKIUS LLP TTORNEYS AT LAW

SAN FRANCISCO

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 17:

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, AOS reserves its right to object and respond to this request at a later time.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 18:

Documents sufficient to identify each person that makes the accused products for you or on your behalf.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 18:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. AOS objects further to the extent this request purports to require that AOS produce documents it is obligated to keep confidential. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS refers Fairchild to its response to Interrogatory No. 1 in Case No. C 07-2664.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 19:

Documents sufficient to identify each person that makes any system, product, or module that incorporates any of the accused products.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 19:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS objects further on the ground that this request is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. AOS further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents and things containing information that AOS is obligated to keep confidential pursuant to an agreement or agreements with a third party or parties. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS refers Fairchild to its response to Interrogatory No. 9 in Case No. C 07-2664.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 20:

Documents sufficient to identify each person that uses, sells, offers for sale or imports into the United States any system, product, or module that incorporates any of the accused products.

Case 3:07-cv-02638-JSW

2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

BOCKIUS LLP

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 20:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS objects further on the ground that this request is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. AOS further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents and things containing information that AOS is obligated to keep confidential pursuant to an agreement or agreements with a third party or parties. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS refers Fairchild to its response to Interrogatory No. 9 in Case No. C 07-2664.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 21:

All documents that relate to any comparisons between the accused products and any product made or sold by Fairchild.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 21:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. AOS further objects to this request as premature under the Patent Local Rules. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce all non-privileged responsive documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 22:

All documents and things you identified and/or were requested to identify in your answers to Fairchild's First Set of Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENT NO. 22:

AOS incorporates by this reference its General Objections. AOS further objects to this request as overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving its objections, AOS will produce the non-privileged and responsive documents it has agreed to produce in response to Fairchild's interrogatories and other document requests.

Dated: September 28, 2007

SAN FRANCISCO

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Ву

Brett M. Schuman.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants Alpha & Omega Semiconductor, Inc. Alpha & Omega Semiconductor, Ltd.

11

I-PA/3664697.6

AOS'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FAIRCHILD'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION (C 07-2664 JSW)